



Superior Court of California
County of Siskiyou

311 Fourth Street, Room 206
Yreka, California 96097

Mary Frances McHugh,
Court Executive Officer

(530) 842-8218 Tel
(530) 842-8339 Fax

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SISKIYOU

NOTICE OF APPROVAL OF
TRIAL COURT BUDGET ALLOCATION
(California Rules of Court, Rule 10.620(f)(1))

August 16, 2016

Public Notice is hereby given that the Superior Court of California, County of Siskiyou, has received notice that the Judicial Council has approved the Court's estimated trial court budget allocation for Fiscal Year 2016/2017.

Attached is a copy of the August 2016-2017 Distribution #2 Memo and allocation table.

By: Mary Frances McHugh
Court Executive Officer
Siskiyou County Superior Court

Posted:



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

455 Golden Gate Avenue • San Francisco, California 94102-3688
Telephone 415-865-4200 • Fax 415-865-4205 • TDD 415-865-4272

MEMORANDUM

Date	Action Requested
August 12, 2016	Please Review
To	Deadline
Executive Officers of the Superior Courts Fiscal Contacts of the Superior Courts	The Distribution is Scheduled to be Available Monday, August 15, 2016
From	Contact
Patrick Ballard, Budget Supervisor Budget Services	Patrick Ballard, Budget Services (818) 558-3115 patrick.ballard@jud.ca.gov
Subject	
FY 2016–2017 Trial Court Trust Fund, Immediate and Critical Needs Account, and General Fund August Distribution (#2)	

The statewide Trial Court Trust Fund (TCTF), Immediate and Critical Needs Account (ICNA), and General Fund distribution in August is \$314,404,683.

The following documents have been prepared for your information:

- Attachment 1A – TCTF, ICNA, and General Fund Distribution Table, which displays the distribution amounts
- Attachment 1B – Monthly Trial Court Operations and Court-Appointed Counsel Distribution Computation, which displays the gross and net distribution
- Attachment 2A – TCTF Trial Court Operations and Court-Appointed Counsel Allocation Table, which displays base and one-time allocations
- Attachment 2B – TCTF Trial Court Operations Charges and Other Special Adjustments
- Attachment 3 – TCTF Compensation of Superior Court Judges Allocation Table
- Attachment 4 – General Fund Allocation for Trial Court Benefits and ICNA-Funded Trial Court Operations Allocation
- Attachment 5 – Immediate and Critical Needs Account Distribution Schedule

FY 2016–2017 TCTF Allocations Approved by the Judicial Council on June 24, 2016 and July 29, 2016

Allocation of \$91.6 Million in New Funding By WAFM and Other WAFM Adjustments

The allocation table includes ongoing funding of \$19.6 million for general court operations allocated in proportion to each court's share identified by the 2016–2017 Workload-based Allocation and Funding Methodology (WAFM) (see Column J of the allocation table). In addition, the allocation table includes a reallocation of \$576.2 million, or 40 percent, and an additional \$233.8 million of courts' historical WAFM-related base allocation of \$1.44 billion (Columns E, F, H, and K), reallocation of \$214.2 million in new funding provided in 2013–2014 through 2015–2016 for general court operations (Columns G and I), and each court's share of the 2016–2017 WAFM funding floor allocation adjustment (Column L).

\$412,000 of the \$20 million provided in the 2016 Budget Act for general court operations was set aside to fund court-provided security cost increases. At the Judicial Council meeting on July 28, 2015, the council approved the submission of a BCP to address increased costs for court-provided (non-sheriff) security for the maintenance of funding at FY 2010–2011 security levels. In addition, beginning in FY 2016–2017 and beyond, if any new General Fund augmentation for general trial court operations is received, courts with court-provided (non-sheriff) security since 2010–2011 would be provided funding based on the lesser of the growth funding percentage that the county sheriff received or the General Fund augmentation percentage increased. The growth funding would cease if a court discontinued its court-provided security services.

\$8.5 Million in 2015–2016 Benefits Cost Change Funding

The allocation table includes \$8.5 million in ongoing funding provided in the 2016 Budget Act based on courts' confirmed rates for 2015–2016 full-year cost changes related to retirement, employee health, and retiree health for non-interpreter employees (see Column M of the allocation table).

\$20.3 Million 2012–2013 and 2013–2014 Previously Unfunded Benefits Costs Allocations

The allocation table includes \$20.3 million in ongoing funding of the returned Department of Finance funding reductions for estimated 2012–2013 and 2013–2014 employer subsidies of non-interpreter employee retirement contributions (see Column N of the allocation table). \$13.3 million in ongoing funding was provided in the 2015 Budget Act and was allocated one-time to the courts in 2015–2016 with an additional \$7 million in ongoing funding provided in the 2016 Budget Act as a return of the reduction included in the 2014 Budget Act as an acknowledgement of the progress courts were making toward meeting the Public Employees' Pension Reform Act of 2013 standard (PEPRA) standard. With the \$20.3 million restored, the trial courts will be made whole for their 2012–2013 and 2013–2014 benefits cost increases.

Non-Sheriffs Security Funding

The allocation table includes \$754,000 in ongoing funding for court-provided security costs (see Columns O and P of the allocation table). \$343,000 in ongoing funding was included in the 2016 Budget Act based on a Budget Change Proposal submitted to address the increased costs for marshals in two courts (see Column P). In addition, \$412,000 of the \$20 million provided in the 2016 Budget Act for general court operations was set aside to fund court-provided security cost increases (see Column O). At the Judicial Council meeting on July 28, 2015, the council approved the submission of a BCP to address increased costs for court-provided (non-sheriff) security for the maintenance of funding at FY 2010–2011 security levels. In addition, beginning in FY 2016–2017 and beyond, if any new General Fund augmentation for general trial court operations is received, courts with court-provided (non-sheriff) security since 2010–2011 would be provided funding based on the lesser of the growth funding percentage that the county sheriff received or the General Fund augmentation percentage increased. The growth funding would cease if a court discontinued its court-provided security services.

Criminal Justice Realignment

The allocation table includes a \$9.223 million one-time full-year allocation for costs related to criminal justice realignment (see Column Q of the allocation table). At its July 29, 2016, business meeting, the Judicial Council approved the full-year allocation of this 2012 Budget Act funding based on the most current available post release community supervision (PRCS) and parole workload data submitted to the Judicial Council's Criminal Justice Services office pursuant to Penal Code section 13155 (each court's percentage of the statewide number of petitions filed and court motions made to revoke/modify PRCS and parole).

Proposition 47 Workload

The allocation table includes \$10.7 million in one-time funding to address increased workload associated with Proposition 47, the Safe Neighborhoods and Schools Act (see Column R of the allocation table). The 2016 Budget Act provides \$21.4 million in one-time funding for Proposition 47 workload costs. At its July 29, 2016, business meeting, the Judicial Council allocated \$10.7 million to be distributed immediately based on each court's share of statewide petitions for resentencing and reclassification from October 1, 2015, to March 31, 2016, and \$10.7 million based on each court's share of statewide petitions for resentencing and reclassification from April 1, 2016, to September 30, 2016, with funding to be distributed in January 2017.

Preliminary Reduction for Fund Balance Above the 1% Cap

The allocation table includes a preliminary one-time reduction of \$9.4 million to courts that are projecting the portion of their 2015–2016 ending fund balance that is subject to the 1 percent fund balance cap to exceed the cap, as required by statute (see Column S of the allocation table).

Based on courts' final 1% computation, final adjustments are anticipated to be allocated in December.

Preliminary TCTF Funds Held on Behalf of the Trial Courts

The allocation table includes a preliminary one-time allocation of \$7.2 million for 2016–2017 TCTF funds held on behalf of the trial courts based on the process approved by the Judicial Council at its April 15, 2016 business meeting (see Column T of the allocation table). The amounts reflect requests approved by the Judicial Council at its June 24, 2016 and July 29, 2016 business meetings adjusted for those courts' preliminary reductions for 2015–2016 fund balance above the 1% cap. Based on courts' final 1% cap computation as well as their amended TCTF funds held on behalf of the trial courts requests, final adjustments are anticipated to be allocated in December.

Distribution of Base and Other Allocations

Based on the estimated cash available in the TCTF as of August 12, 2016, this month's distribution of base and other allocations (which are posted to GL 812110, and excluding allocations related to reimbursements and revenue collections) is \$10.3 million higher statewide than the estimate for August 2016 provided to the courts in July in the "2016–17 Estimated Monthly Base Distribution" (see Column C1 of the Monthly Trial Court Operations and Court-Appointed Counsel Distribution Computation table, Attachment 1B).

In addition to the now-approved pending Judicial Council allocations provided in the previous version, the updated "2016–17 Estimated Monthly Base Distribution" includes the \$9.4 million preliminary 1% fund balance cap reduction which affects 20 courts, a preliminary \$7.2 million TCTF funds held on behalf of the trial courts allocation adjusted based on the 1% cap reduction affecting 13 courts, and a reduction for appointed converted SJO positions affecting one court. The workbook also updated the amounts of the monthly distributions with significant changes to the month of October.

Please note that the Proposition 47 workload funding reflects only half of total allocation (\$21.4 million). The remaining \$10.7 million will be distributed in January 2017 based on each court's share of statewide petitions for resentencing and reclassification from April 1, 2016, to September 30, 2016. Due to current estimated cash availability in June 2017, we anticipate that \$21.5 million (1.2% of courts' base allocations) will need to be borrowed from other judicial branch funds in order to distribute courts' base allocations fully in June 2017. Otherwise, that portion would be distributed in July 2017.

TCTF Trial Court Operations and Court-Appointed Counsel Reimbursements
Court-Appointed Counsel Reimbursement

This month's distribution includes reimbursement of 2016–2017 court-appointed dependency counsel expenditures (see Column U of the allocation table). The Judicial Council at its April 17, 2015 meeting approved a methodology for allocating the existing base funding of \$103.7 million based on each court's share of the workload funding need as calculated by the workload model for juvenile dependency with the new allocation methodology phased in over four years. In 2016–2017 (year two), each court's base allocation amount is calculated based 60 percent on its share of the historical base in 2014–2015 and 40 percent on its share of the statewide juvenile dependency workload funding need estimate of \$202.9 million. For the additional \$11 million provided in the 2015 Budget Act, the council directed that any new state funds designated for court-appointed dependency counsel be allocated only to courts with a ratio of allocated base funding to their calculated workload-based funding need below the statewide ratio of base funding to workload-based funding required to meet the workload standard (51.1%). The Judicial Council at its July 29, 2016 meeting approved a modification to the court-appointed counsel funding allocation methodology to provide \$406,000 in relief from the reallocation of funding to courts with smaller caseloads.

Reimbursement funding included in this distribution is based on expenditures reported in the Phoenix Financial System through the prior month. The reimbursement amount includes all year-to-date expenditures posted through the end of the month less any year-to-date distributions. Any entry posted after a given month's calculation will be included in the subsequent month's calculation. If you have any questions about this reimbursement, please contact Don Will, Principal Manager, Center for Families, Children & the Courts, at (415) 865-7557.

Jury Reimbursement

This month's distribution includes reimbursement of 2016–2017 jury costs (see Column V of the allocation table). The reimbursement for all courts consists of the reimbursable costs reported in the Phoenix Financial System for the prior month. If no costs are displayed in the report, either because there were no reimbursable jury costs those months or because the costs were reported in Phoenix after the expenditure report was run, no reimbursement will be provided in this distribution. A final reconciliation of actual expenditures to allocations will be made after the end of the fiscal year.

As a reminder, reimbursed civil juror expenditures should not be reported in Fund 110001. Reimbursement for meals and lodging costs are only allowable for sequestered jurors. Sequestered jurors are jurors not allowed to return home at the end of the day, but instead are ordered by the court to be housed separately and apart from the public, and sometimes their

families, during the entire trial or during deliberations. This is not the same as routine jury deliberations.

TCTF Trial Court Operations Distribution Reductions for Program Cost Charges and Other Adjustments

Judicial Branch Workers' Compensation Program Premium

This month's distribution includes reduction to reflect this month's premiums for courts participating in the Judicial Branch Workers' Compensation Program (JBWCP) (see Column C of the Charges and Other Special Adjustments table, Attachment 2B). The JBWCP Advisory Committee provided allocation recommendations to the Judicial Council which were approved at its June 24, 2016 business meeting. The reduction amount reflects 1/12th of each court's annual premium. If you have any questions about the JBWCP, please contact Linda Cox, Principal Manager, Human Resources, at (415) 865-4290.

Repayment of FY 2015–2016 Cash Advance

This month's distribution includes a reduction for the repayment of cash advances received in FY 2015–2016 (see Column D of the Charges and Other Special Adjustments table, Attachment 2B).

TCTF Judges' Compensation Distribution

This month's distribution reflects 1/12th of participating courts' annual amount for judges' local salary reimbursements, which is calculated using the number of authorized judgeships (excluding the 50 unfunded AB 159 judgeships authorized in 2007–2008) for each participating court as of July 1, 2016 as well as the population tier to which the court's county belongs (See Column B, seventh to last column, of Attachment 1A–TCTF, ICNA, and General Fund Distribution Table).

TCTF Court Interpreter Program Distribution

This month's distribution (See Column AX of Attachment 1A–TCTF, ICNA, and General Fund Distribution Table) includes the following:

- (1) A true-up of the July staff interpreter distribution for those courts where the FY 2016–2017 Schedule 7A was not yet available and the FY 2015–2016 Schedule 7A was used. This part of the distribution is based on the salaries and benefits of filled staff interpreter positions for model classes 2019A, 2019D, and 2019E;
- (2) 95 percent of one month (1/12th) of the staff interpreter employee costs based on the FY 2016–2017 Schedule 7A;

- (3) Two months (2/12ths) of the salaries and benefits for eligible interpreter coordinators for five courts and two months (2/12ths) of the salaries and benefits and OE&E for 4.0 interpreter supervisors in three courts;
- (4) Actual staff interpreter cross-assignment costs for July;
- (5) Actual costs for contractor services in mandated cases posted in the Phoenix Financial System associated with Fund 110001, Functional Area 1320, and the expenditure accounts eligible for reimbursement (i.e., GL 938502, 938503, 938504, 938505, 938506, 938507, 938509, 938510 and 938511), and;
- (6) Actual contractor costs posted in the expenditure accounts eligible for reimbursement (see 5 above) in the WBSEs for domestic violence, family law cases with domestic violence issues, and elder/dependent adult physical (non-financial) abuse (O-BA9405), and civil (O-BA9406).

Immediate and Critical Needs Account Distribution

The Budget Act of 2016 authorizes up to \$50 million of courts' allocation for court operations to be distributed directly from the ICNA. The distribution represents 1/12th of each court's total TCTF reduction adjustment related to the ICNA distribution. The monthly ICNA distribution schedule is displayed in Attachment 5.

The attached distribution table (Attachment 1B) displays how TCTF trial court operations and court-appointed counsel distributions are computed. Assuming no further adjustments, courts will have received the full allocation with the final 2016–2017 distribution in August 2017.

Court funds are not to be used for the purchase of electronic recording equipment except as provided in Government Code section 69957.

Attachments (7)

cc: Mark Dusman, Acting Chief Administrative Officer, JCC Administrative Division
Zlatko Theodorovic, Director and Chief Financial Officer, JCC Budget Services

Court-Specific Allocations Approved by the Judicial Council at their June 24 and July 29, 2016 Meetings

Court	Base Allocations						One-Time Allocations						Total
	Beginning 2016-2017 Base Allocation (TCTF and GF) ¹	2015-16 Benefits Funding ²	2012-13 & 2013-14 Previously Unfunded Benefits Costs Allocation ³	Non-Sheriff's Security Funding ⁴	2016-17 WAFM Allocation Adjustments ⁵	2016-17 Funding Floor Allocation Adjustment	Criminal Justice Realignment ⁶	Proposition 47 Funding (1st Half) ⁷	Proposition 47 Funding (2nd Half) ⁷	Preliminary Reduction for Fund Balance Above the 1% Cap ⁸	Preliminary TCTF Funds Held on Behalf of the Trial Courts	Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel ⁹	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	
Alameda	74,815,021	645,929	795,745	34,322	(436,743)	(16,517)	237,286	338,212	pending	(2,015,955)	1,204,632	3,618,313	79,220,245
Alpine	745,696	(17,093)	3,088	-	(19,759)	35,931	-	-	pending	(201,656)	-	399	546,606
Amador	2,171,075	41,319	11,783	-	59,048	(532)	6,114	8,713	pending	-	-	115,233	2,412,753
Butte	9,446,395	211,906	78,377	5,045	375,299	(2,180)	71,071	57,821	pending	(11,112)	-	627,554	10,860,176
Calaveras	2,049,274	74,133	22,634	-	22,043	(507)	3,821	6,072	pending	-	-	142,758	2,320,229
Colusa	1,684,618	24,213	7,914	-	(17,236)	160,044	3,821	528	pending	-	-	40,667	1,904,571
Contra Costa	39,984,640	(783,109)	504,413	-	(807,633)	(8,738)	83,872	112,737	pending	-	-	2,600,337	41,686,519
Del Norte	2,469,730	59,258	22,599	-	82,386	(587)	7,833	8,977	pending	(100,344)	-	214,730	2,764,583
El Dorado	6,570,654	98,371	9,371	-	(34,774)	(1,520)	35,536	30,891	pending	(1,593)	-	655,569	7,362,505
Fresno	44,631,873	252,326	456,561	-	2,960,295	(11,030)	371,404	340,324	pending	-	-	2,670,600	51,672,353
Glenn	1,854,657	27,501	11,899	106	(94,502)	63,519	2,802	5,280	pending	(90,000)	90,000	90,417	1,961,679
Humboldt	5,949,966	56,493	67,869	1,812	173,992	(1,388)	39,166	17,425	pending	-	-	462,558	6,767,893
Imperial	8,220,423	120,442	101,174	4,541	174,720	(1,872)	32,670	21,650	pending	-	-	518,512	9,192,259
Inyo	2,018,391	38,621	16,191	2,016	(36,939)	(436)	1,719	528	pending	-	-	72,277	2,112,369
Kern	41,796,192	988,357	272,793	708	3,029,898	(10,404)	308,166	1,149,022	pending	(1,630,121)	1,630,121	2,277,753	49,812,484
Kings	6,360,982	48,872	10,949	4,557	349,995	(1,427)	58,080	69,966	pending	-	-	443,478	7,345,450
Lake	3,190,914	35,981	1,582	2,122	95,340	(721)	12,609	12,673	pending	(84,266)	84,266	296,119	3,646,621
Lassen	2,254,864	16,783	2,759	3,173	(24,851)	(458)	4,967	7,921	pending	(132,148)	99,325	106,891	2,339,227
Los Angeles	519,922,911	(1,336,025)	5,984,546	154,380	6,939,407	(115,500)	3,471,211	2,614,341	pending	-	-	45,149,389	582,784,661
Madera	7,221,663	241,857	22,490	4,119	219,947	(1,711)	41,840	21,386	pending	(0)	-	293,833	8,065,425
Marin	12,159,405	221,932	177,317	104	(519,030)	(2,806)	15,284	36,963	pending	-	-	388,488	12,477,657
Mariposa	1,147,064	2,134	1,761	-	(25,442)	(262)	2,484	2,640	pending	-	-	38,070	1,168,448
Mendocino	5,050,187	188,392	116,313	3,233	137,568	(1,208)	29,422	-	pending	(23,699)	-	566,908	6,067,116
Merced	11,438,096	154,519	153,398	-	227,209	(2,697)	99,729	31,419	pending	(298,878)	298,878	751,397	12,853,069
Modoc	925,607	10,777	1,753	9	(55,866)	(206)	764	-	pending	-	-	17,128	899,965
Mono	1,552,463	11,291	5,599	261	33,841	107,760	382	2,376	pending	-	-	13,956	1,727,930
Monterey	16,380,107	361,192	130,795	9,396	564,039	(3,808)	42,222	36,699	pending	(51,915)	51,914	494,823	18,015,464
Napa	7,112,089	106,421	89,880	3,192	164,861	(1,587)	14,010	-	pending	(126,164)	126,164	232,362	7,721,229
Nevada	4,853,867	99,540	59,490	4,681	(87,424)	(980)	6,496	4,488	pending	-	-	226,123	5,166,282
Orange	139,551,260	66,411	2,860,993	29,525	(1,851,991)	(31,299)	386,688	741,900	pending	(857,269)	857,269	5,648,065	147,401,552
Placer	14,614,478	253,075	140,675	-	547,583	(3,419)	30,759	29,834	pending	(217,178)	217,178	687,985	16,300,971
Plumas	1,259,920	12,766	2,974	-	(41,600)	4,938	1,911	264	pending	-	-	154,059	1,395,232
Riverside	79,176,844	1,454,359	812,595	20,860	2,225,921	(18,537)	813,878	347,980	pending	-	-	6,411,054	91,244,956
Sacramento	74,820,341	(1,032,400)	1,136,127	20,136	(69,047)	(16,579)	160,101	107,721	pending	(1,872,855)	1,413,142	4,832,997	79,499,684
San Benito	2,422,410	32,176	8,330	-	(104,676)	(551)	9,170	5,808	pending	-	-	89,163	2,461,831
San Bernardino	88,845,149	2,087,322	659,482	35,310	2,446,434	(20,474)	804,899	665,598	pending	-	-	5,731,210	101,254,929
San Diego	135,183,257	1,021,966	2,038,142	7,098	(2,953,490)	(30,342)	492,530	493,984	pending	-	-	7,711,177	143,964,322
San Francisco	57,691,927	(1,316,245)	739,779	-	(350,192)	(13,130)	54,641	71,286	pending	-	-	3,296,146	60,174,211

Court-Specific Allocations Approved by the Judicial Council at their June 24 and July 29, 2016 Meetings

Court	Base Allocations						One-Time Allocations						Total
	Beginning 2016-2017 Base Allocation (TCTF and GF) ¹	2015-16 Benefits Funding ²	2012-13 & 2013-14 Previously Unfunded Benefits Costs Allocation ³	Non-Sheriff's Security Funding ⁴	2016-17 WAFM Allocation Adjustments ⁵	2016-17 Funding Floor Allocation Adjustment	Criminal Justice Realignment ⁶	Proposition 47 Funding (1st Half) ⁷	Proposition 47 Funding (2nd Half) ⁷	Preliminary Reduction for Fund Balance Above the 1% Cap ⁸	Preliminary TCTF Funds Held on Behalf of the Trial Courts	Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel ⁹	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
San Joaquin	30,540,492	424,617	264,991	3,108	1,772,837	(7,450)	166,979	526,195	pending	-	-	2,601,178	36,292,946
San Luis Obispo	13,138,220	60,624	60,453	2,610	(4,685)	(2,902)	62,474	49,636	pending	-	-	647,980	14,014,409
San Mateo	34,195,508	54,150	298,280	4,785	(174,732)	(7,682)	30,568	695,961	pending	-	-	668,643	35,765,481
Santa Barbara	20,991,085	50,020	60,324	11,395	427,936	(4,669)	77,185	48,580	pending	(522,282)	-	1,267,448	22,407,022
Santa Clara	74,878,764	606,490	408,201	-	(165,418)	(17,232)	125,903	294,384	pending	-	-	3,780,956	79,912,046
Santa Cruz	11,177,032	238,885	76,312	-	166,897	(2,614)	30,568	208,577	pending	-	-	713,676	12,609,335
Shasta	11,770,943	235,389	90,993	272,635	348,727	(2,276)	85,209	83,959	pending	-	-	621,700	13,507,279
Sierra	744,799	9,323	4,422	-	(39,021)	28,370	764	-	pending	-	-	13,759	762,415
Siskiyou	3,015,299	137,750	29,388	-	(162,978)	(672)	11,654	2,904	pending	(29,552)	-	245,373	3,249,167
Solano	19,418,119	597,865	245,864	4,702	(10,465)	(4,488)	112,338	123,562	pending	-	-	801,057	21,288,555
Sonoma	23,118,451	63,956	305,073	4,752	(409,145)	(5,158)	104,696	54,916	pending	(824,106)	824,106	990,021	24,227,563
Stanislaus	19,725,272	95,213	404,982	101	752,566	(4,784)	88,839	242,636	pending	-	-	1,004,470	22,309,294
Sutter	4,502,072	34,036	35,710	2,668	276,084	(1,076)	17,577	-	pending	(347,495)	347,495	146,804	5,013,876
Tehama	3,468,896	54,682	12,296	-	174,873	(866)	17,959	12,673	pending	-	-	177,634	3,918,147
Trinity	1,684,534	10,086	9,880	69,871	65,685	(308)	2,675	2,904	pending	-	-	93,829	1,939,157
Tulare	15,626,610	410,850	51,104	168	1,112,551	(3,937)	78,140	136,235	pending	-	-	1,032,410	18,444,132
Tuolumne	2,980,842	91,053	9,519	2,382	72,658	(664)	5,349	20,858	pending	-	-	110,593	3,292,589
Ventura	32,147,570	450,232	268,090	16,839	1,092,944	(7,430)	362,615	529,363	pending	-	-	1,284,628	36,144,852
Yolo	8,577,273	123,356	83,319	6,295	405,133	(1,944)	41,649	254,517	pending	-	-	430,429	9,920,027
Yuba	3,688,250	122,050	32,747	1,432	586,977	(1,000)	40,503	8,713	pending	-	-	278,909	4,758,581
Reserve	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	200,000	200,000
Total	1,772,934,442	8,452,388	20,292,088	754,448	19,588,058	(0)	9,223,000	10,700,000	10,700,000	(9,438,587)	7,244,489	114,800,000	1,965,250,327

1. Includes \$50 million that will be distributed from the Immediate & Critical Needs Account. For details, see Attachment C.

2. For details, see Attachment D.

3. For details, see Attachment E.

4. For details, see Attachment F.

5. For details, see Attachment G.

6. For details, see Attachment H.

7. Half of the \$21.4 million was allocated based on each court's share of statewide petitions for resentencing and reclassification from October 1, 2015 to March 31, 2016. The remaining \$10.7 million will be allocated based solely on each court's share of statewide petitions for resentencing and reclassification from April 1, 2016 to September 30, 2016 with funding to be distributed in January 2017. For details, see Attachment I.

8. For details, see Attachment J.

9. Reflects the maximum reimbursement amount for allowable court expenditures. For courts participating in the DRAFT program, reflects the budget used to contract on behalf of those participating courts. For details, see Attachment K.